

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,614	07/01/2003	Andres Salazar	RIB0201NPA	1456
75	90 03/08/2004		EXAMI	NER
Max Stul Oppenheimer P.O. Box 50			KHARE, DEVESH	
Stevenson, MD 21153		:	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1623	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/611,614	SALAZAR, ANDRES
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Devesh Khare	1623
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	· ·	• •
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
, U		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nts have been received.	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		application No
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in A	
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not	received in this National Stage received.
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not	received in this National Stage

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Office Action Cummaru

Part of Paner No /Mail Date 20040302

Application/Control Number: 10/611,614

Art Unit: 1623

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a method for the production of poly-ICLC, classified in class 536, subclass various.
- II. Claims 5-6, drawn to a method of treatment of a disease, classified in class 514, subclass various.
- III. Claims 7-8, drawn to a method for enhancing the action of a vaccine, classified in class 514, subclass various.
- IV. Claims 9-10, drawn to a method for clinical regulation of genes, classified in class 514, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I to II are unrelated to one another. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different process for producing poly-ICLC and treatment of a disease, while using similar compound have different modes/routes of action. Inventions I to III are unrelated to one another. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/611,614

Art Unit: 1623

808.01). In the instant case the different process for producing poly-ICLC and enhancing the action of a vaccine, while using similar compound have different modes/routes of action.

Inventions I to IV are unrelated to one another. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different process for producing poly-ICLC and clinical regulation of genes, while using similar compound have different modes/routes of action.

Inventions II to III are unrelated to one another. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different process for treatment of a disease and enhancing the action of a vaccine, while using similar compound have different modes/routes of action.

Inventions **III to IV** are unrelated to one another. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different process for enhancing the action of a vaccine and clinical regulation of genes, while using similar compound have different modes/routes of action.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,614

Art Unit: 1623

Although the inventions are classified in the same class and sub-class, searching the four groups of inventions constitutes a burdensome search, as a thorough search comprises a search or foreign patents and non-patent literature as well as the appropriate U.S. patent classifications. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. It is noted that examination of the four independent and distinct inventions would indeed impose an undue burden upon the examiner in charge of this application.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143). If applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims, which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined. (MPEP § 821.04)

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in Art Unit: 1623

the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

A telephone call was made to Max Oppenheimer on 2-10-2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Devesh Khare whose telephone number is (571) 272-0653.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623 can be reached at 571-272-0661. The official fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Devesh Khare, Ph.D.,JD(3Y). Art Unit 1623 March 2, 2004 JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600